G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906

(Inwards)

File No. 172

Serial Nos. 1—8.

Papers Filed

Month September 1908.

SUBJECT.

Use of Tiger Vahana in the Sri Anjaneya temple at Valagerahally, Chennapatna Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES
	Circ 101-08
	130-10

Muz. 9] 190 .

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

172—06
File No.

SUBJECT.

Re the use of Tiger Vahana in the Sri-Anjaneya temple at Valaganahally, Chennapatna Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore Distt.

No. 26 Dated 5th July 1906

The temple of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Valaganahally in the Chennapatna Taluk is a muzrai institution endowed with Inam lands.

Gowda and certain others of Valaganahally appealed to Govt. against the Dy. Commissioner's order declining to permit the Utsava Vigraha of Sri Anjaneyaswamy being paraded on Tiger Vahanam.

Petition dy 12th Septr 1906 (Slip A)

The appeal was referred to the Dy. Commissioner, B

District and that Officer has submitted the records of enquiry together with his report. * (Paper under disposal

From the Vernacular records it is observed as follows:—

One Javarai Gowda of Valagerahally repaired the temple building of Sri Anjaneyaswamy which was in a dilapidated condition, and presented a Kudure Vahana and an Utsavam to the insth. The Vahana is reported to be in use for the past 4 or 5 years but no permission was obtained from the Govt for its use.

On the 29th October 1905 Javarai Gowda and others petitioned the Taluk Office Complaining that some villagers were trying to Convey in procession Contrary to mamul, a new Utsava Vigraha of Sri Anjaneyaswamy on the Tiger Vahanam on the 30th idem and praying that permission (Slip B

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190
	should not be granted therefor.
(Slip C)	On the 22nd November 1905. the then Amildar declined to grant permission to the use of Tiger Vahana on the ground that no such Vahana was in use in the temples at Devanahosalli and other places.
(Slip D)	On the 3rd January 1906, Eire? Gowda, Bore Gowda and others petitioned the Taluk Office praying for a re-Consideration of the above orders.
(Slip E)	On the 13th March 1906, the Amildar Mr. T. Ramachenda Rao issued an order granting permission to the use of Tiger Vahana as a similar practice was obtaining in the Anjaneya temples in the other Taluks.
	On appeal by from Jawari Gowda and others to the Dy. Comm the then Assistant Commissioner

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

Mr C. S. Balasundaram Iyer directed the Amildar on the 22nd march 1906 to stop the Utsava till further orders. (Slip F

The Dy. Commissioner my Spankes having heard both the parties through their counsels. observed in his order of the 11th August 1906. (Slip that there was no manual for the Deity being paraded on Tiger Vahana in the Village and directed that as the practice of doing so was found repulsive to certain of the Villagers. a departure from the manual viz the parading of the deity on tiger Vahanam should be prohibited.

Against the foregoing order. Ujire Gowda and others have let to Govt.

During the enquiry conducted

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190
	by the present Amildar Mr. C. Raghunathen Rao Saheb, Pensioned Sheroff Krishnaiengar who took the initiative in effecting improvements
* (Slip H)	to the temple states on the 19th November 1904 that puja and other services in the temple are regulated by Agama Shastra, that the use of Huli-Vahana in Vishnu temples is opposed to Shastras and that the practice of using this Vahana is not in vogue in Melkote, Seringapatam and other places. He adds that the right of worship vests in his family.
(Slip 8) (Y Dy 20th June 1907)	The Amildar reports that Sri Anjaneya Deity in Sogala and other (10) villages is being paraded on tiger Vahanam from time immemorial and adds that there seems to be no objection to the Deity

b

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

in question being also paraded on tiger Vahanam

The Sub-Division Officer Mr. T. Chokkanna states in his Report dated 28th June 1908. that he sees no reason to depart from the manual of the village as opined by Mr. Sparkes and adds that such innovations should not be introduced in villages with factions which only help to further embitter the feelings of the people.

Submitted for orders

AV 24/8/08 MV 01/9/08 The Agamiks of this office were asked to report as to whether Utsivahana is sanctioned by Shastras

They state that Sri Anjaneyam (Vide. Report dt 25-8-1908) (Stp 4) The Vahana of Sri Ramaswamy as such the use of a Vahana

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

for the former does not seem to find a place in Agama Shastra. They further state that the parading of the Anjaneya Deity on Bhadra Pooja is in conformity with Shastras and they are given to understand that Kudure and Tiger Vahanams are in use in Anjaneya temples in villages adjoining Channapatna. They add that orders which are deemed fit may be passed in the matter.

Submitted. This is a very insignificant matter in itself & the importance given to it is apparently due to local factions. Though Anjaneya is paraded on tiger vahanams in some villages there is no manual for so doing in the particular village concerned. The Addl. Dy Comm (Mr Sparkes) declining to permit a departure from the manual

8

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

on the motion of a section of the villagers, appears to be quite sound + reasonable & maybe confirmed. 1/10

2nd CJ

CJ
27/8/08

Approved
BMK
28/8/08

DSR orders amply AJ
SB
28/8
Dfts. submitted
AJ
2/9/08

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್ ಸಾಂಬಶಿವರಾಯರವರಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಚಂದ್ರನ ಸೇವಕನಾದ ಹನುಮಂತನು ಸಾಷ್ಟಾಂಗ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿ ಬರೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವ ವಿಜ್ಞಾಪನೆಯೇನೆಂದರೆ: ಭಗವಂತನಾದ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮಚಂದ್ರನು ವಾಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಂದು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನಿಗೆ ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆಯ ರಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರಲು ಹೇಳಿ ತಾನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಸೀತೆಯರೊಡನೆ ಪಂಪಾಸರೋವರದ ಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರ್ಣಶಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದ್ದನು. ಆಗ ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ರಾವಣನು ಕದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕುತ್ತಾ ಪಂಪಾಸರೋವರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಋಷ್ಯಮೂಕ ಪರ್ವತವನ್ನು ಹತ್ತಿ ಸುಗ್ರೀವನನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವನ ಅಣ್ಣನಾದ ವಾಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಂದು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನಿಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನು. ಆಗ ಸುಗ್ರೀವನು ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಸಲು ಕಪಿಸೈನ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದನು. ಆಗ ಹನುಮಂತನು ಸಮುದ್ರವನ್ನು ದಾಟಿ ಲಂಕೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನ ಉಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವಳಿಂದ ಚೂಡಾಮಣಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದನು. ಆಗ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನ ಸೈನ್ಯದೊಡನೆ ಲಂಕೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ರಾವಣನನ್ನು ಕೊಂದು ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದನು. ಆಗ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನಿಗೆ ಕಿಷ್ಕಿಂಧೆಯ ರಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ಇರಲು ಹೇಳಿ ತಾನು ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣ ಸೀತೆಯರೊಡನೆ ಪಂಪಾಸರೋವರದ ಬಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರ್ಣಶಾಲೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿಕೊಂಡು ಇದ್ದನು. ಆಗ ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ರಾವಣನು ಕದ್ದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನು ಅವಳನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕುತ್ತಾ ಪಂಪಾಸರೋವರಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಋಷ್ಯಮೂಕ ಪರ್ವತವನ್ನು ಹತ್ತಿ ಸುಗ್ರೀವನನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಸಹಾಯ ಮಾಡಿ ಅವನ ಅಣ್ಣನಾದ ವಾಲಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಂದು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನಿಗೆ ರಾಜ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟನು. ಆಗ ಸುಗ್ರೀವನು ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಹುಡುಕಿಸಲು ಕಪಿಸೈನ್ಯವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದನು. ಆಗ ಹನುಮಂತನು ಸಮುದ್ರವನ್ನು ದಾಟಿ ಲಂಕೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಕಂಡು ಅವಳಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನ ಉಂಗುರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಅವಳಿಂದ ಚೂಡಾಮಣಿಯನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದನು. ಆಗ ಶ್ರೀರಾಮನು ಸುಗ್ರೀವನ ಸೈನ್ಯದೊಡನೆ ಲಂಕೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ರಾವಣನನ್ನು ಕೊಂದು ಸೀತೆಯನ್ನು ಕರೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದನು. - - -

ಮಾನ್ಯರಾದವರು ಸೂಕ್ಷ್ಮವಾಗಿ ಕೊಂಡಿದಂತೆ ಪ್ರಾಯಶ್ಚಿತ್ತಾರ್ಥವಾಗಿ ಕೊಂಡಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಾದಾದಿಯಾಗಿ ಪವಿತ್ರಗಳು ಕಾಣಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತವೆ. ದಿ 25-8-1908 ಇಂತಿ ಸಂಭಾವನೆಯಿರಲಿ.

ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರು

ಹನುಮ

Presidential
by Govt
Call for Report
in parawise
12/91

1491

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆ/120 ಕೆಸಿಎಸ್ 90 ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು, ದಿನಾಂಕ: 12-9-91

ಇವರಿಂದ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ (ಸಂಸದೀಯ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು) ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರ

ಇವರಿಗೆ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ (ಸಂಸದೀಯ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು) ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ವಿಷಯ: ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುತಿಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವಿಧಾನ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಆರ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ ಅವರು ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಚುಕ್ಕೆ ಗುರುತಿಲ್ಲದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರವನ್ನು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕೋಷ್ಟಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.	ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ	ಉತ್ತರ
1	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
2	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.2	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
3	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.3	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
4	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.4	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
5	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.5	ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

1. ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

2. ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

3. ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

4. ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

5. ಕ್ರ.ಸಂ.1 ರಿಂದ 5 ರವರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಿಡಿಒ/ಗ್ರಾಪಂ/ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ/೨೦೨೫-೨೬

ಪಿಡಿಒ ಮತ್ತು ಗ್ರಾಮ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸುವ ಮುನ್ನ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಹೆಸರು, ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ, ಗುತ್ತಿಗೆದಾರರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿ ಪೂರ್ಣಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾದ ದಿನಾಂಕವನ್ನು ಒಳಗೊಂಡ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಫಲಕವನ್ನು ಅಳವಡಿಸಬೇಕು.

೨. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮತ್ತು ಗುಣಮಟ್ಟದಂತೆ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಬೇಕು. ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಬಾರದು.

೩. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿನ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕೂ ಹೆಚ್ಚುವರಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

೪. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೫. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೬. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

೭. ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪ್ರಮಾಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಯನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಮಾಣಕ್ಕೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾಗಿ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಪಾವತಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

Muz. 31

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petition No. 1491

dated 12:9:06 received at this Office from Gire Gowda and 4 Poojaris Cheenne Gowda appealing against the order of the Dy. Comm. re the Utsavam of the Sri Anjaneya-swamy temple at the place,

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the D.C. Bangalore Dist. for favor of enquiry and report together with the records bearing on the subject.

By Order,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
19 .

Sent to the peh
12/9/06

Muz. 3]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 3459 Encl

The accompanying Petition No. 1918

~~dated~~ _____ received at this

Office from Gire Gowda and others of Varagarahalli, Agrahar in the Virupakshapur hobli of the Channapatna Taluk re the utsavam of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple,

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ ~~enclosures~~, to the Dy. Comm. of The Bangalore Distt, in Contn of this office memo, no. 2031 dy 19:10:06, ~~for favour of report.~~

An early report is requested

By Order,

Sd/- 10/1/07

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
11.1.07
19

Js 6/1/07

3460

GA

LE 141
1.3.07

Rupees
2-1
1/3/07

963

1906-07

From The Dy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To The Secretary to the Govt of
Mysore
Mazrai Department.

Dated 26th
Despatched 28 } February 1907.

Received

General No.

MS No. 127.

Enclosure No. 1 file

His Lr 2031/2 19.10.06

Report with records on
the petition of Giripowda
and Chennegowda of
Virupakshipura in the
Channapatna Dalak re
The Utsavam of Sri Anja-
neyaswami temple

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 26th February 1907.

M.S. No. 127
E. Ita

From, H. A. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To, L. Anantasam Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Mouzrai Departments,

Sir, With reference to your official Memorandum No. 2031 dated 19th October 1906, forwarding for report petition from Giri Gowda and Channegowda of Virupakshipura in the Channapatna Taluk, re the Utsavam of the Sri Anjaneya Swami Temple at the place, I have the honor to state that, on hearing both parties through their counsels, I arrived at the conclusion that there was no manool the

Deity being paraded on tiger Vahan-
-am in this village, and as this
practice of doing so was found
repulsive to certain of the villagers,
it was ordered that a departure
from the manool viz: the parading
of the Deity on Tiger Vahanam
should be prohibited.

2. The entire file of correspon-
-dence ending with Chennapatna
Amildar's report No. 334 dated 14th
February 1907 is herewith forwarded
for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

[illegible]
By Commissioner
Bangalore District.

ums

8/2/13

draft for approval

SLH

Mad. I. 51]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. ——

No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his letter No. 127, dated 26th February 1907, submitting a report on the appeal petition of Gowda and Chenne Gowda of Vira pakshipura in the Chennapatna Taluk, in the matter of carrying the Sri Anjaneyaswamy idol of the village on tiger Vahanam, has the honor to request the return of the petition in question and ~~also any other papers bear~~

GPB—2,000—21-7-03

~~available in his office bearing~~

~~on the subject~~

By order,

DBL) 20/3/04

Secretary

Ps
16/3/07

No. 1149 | 26.

To,

The Dy Commr.

Bangalore Dt.

Sir,

In inviting your attention to this Office Docket No 467/ dy 26th March 1907 and subsequent Rem.r No. 556 dy 29th May 1907 requesting the return of the appeal Petn of Sri Gowda & others of Valagerahalli in the Channapatna Taluk regarding the conduct of the Utsava of the Sri Anjaneya Swami at the place, I am directed to state

~~available in his office bearing~~

~~on the subject~~

By order

DBL)

20 3/04

Secretary

RS
11/3/07

Todays Post
21/8

No. 11492/26.8.07

To,

The Dy Comm.

Bangalore Dt.

Sir,

I invite your attention to this Office Docket letter No 4671 dy 26th March 1907 and subsequent Rem. No 5563 dy 29th May 1907 requesting the return of the appeal Petn. of Sri Gowda & others of Valagerahalli in the Channapatna Taluk regarding the conduct of the Utsava of the Sri Anjaneya Swami at the place, I am directed to state

that although 5 months have elapsed, the appellant's petn has not been returned to this office as called for. The petns have been waiting early disposal. I am therefore to request the favour of your causing the return of the appeal petn in question with the least possible delay.

I have &c

Dft

Ld 22/8/07

Legislative Dept

W 21/8/07

95

1907-08

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Abkari Department

Dated 12th September 1907

Despatched 14th

Received

General No.
Abk. No.

Enclosure No. 4

Abkari Secretary's
Nr. 1149 of 26th August 1907

Returns the appeal petition
of Gurgowda & others of
Valagerehalli in the Channa
patna Taluk re the conduct
of the Utsava of the Sri
Subramanyaswami at the
place and exemption
from payment of duty in sub-
mitting [illegible]

1274 —
14-9-07

Ag.
Please prepare
Tp

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 12th September 1907

M.S. No. 31
14

From:
D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To.
L. Anantasami Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your cr. No. 1149 of 26th August 1907, I have the honor to return herewith the appeal petition of Giri Gowda and others of Valagerehalli in the Channapatna Taluk, regarding the conduct of the Amildar of the Sri Anjaneyaswami at the place.

2. The delay in returning the enclosures is due to their non-receipt in time from the Taluk and the fact of their having been mixed up with the papers connected with the other petition submitted by Giri Gowda and others to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore regarding the alleged mis-appropriation of the income of the Inam lands endowed to the same Deity referred to above.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

for Deputy Commissioner

Encl.

Please refer
Home Minister.

1105

Wb. for appeal

Mad 1 A]

196

From

To

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore Distt.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

His letter no. 31 dated 12th September 1904 reporting on the appeal petition of Sire Gowda and certain others of Valagerehalli in the Closepet Taluk regarding the conduct of the Utsavam on Tiger Vahanam of the Sri Anjaneyaswamy temple, a minister at the place.

Has the honour to observe from a perusal of the records received with the

GPB—3,000—21-7-06

above communication, that after repairs to the temple in question were completed by Javaraji Gowda and certain other villagers, they contemplated the preparation of a Tiger Vahanam at first. but later on, however, they presented a Kudure Vahana to the temple, as it has no Vahanams of any kind whatsoever.

2) The Dy Commr is requested in regard to the above, to furnish information on the following points: —

1) who presented the Kudure Vahanam to the temple and when?

2) was permission obtained before-hand for such presentation. and if so, the records if any, on the subject should be kindly sent! and

3) Is the deity being actually paraded on Kudure Vahana, and if so, how long since?

3) From the Mahazar of certain villagers received with the vernacular records, it is observed that Tiger Vahana

is used in similar temples in ~~the~~ adjoining villages. Is this so?

4) A fuller and detailed report is requested

5) The Vernacular records are herewith returned for reference and re-submission

By order,

Draft) 30/9/04

Secretary.

Murty Desai

30/9/04

Typed

S.P.

9.2.08

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq, B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To
The Dy Commr
Bangalore Dt

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
Muzrai F No.

Enclosure No

In continuation of this Office No 1875 dated 10th October 1907, has the honor to forward herewith for early report petn Regd No 2509 Dy 14th December 1907, received from Giri Gowda and certain others of Valagarahalli in the Hemavathi Taluk

GBP—3.000 copies 21-9-07

the conduct

of certain Utsavams
of the Sri Arjaneya
Swami temple at the
place. The favour of a very early detailed report
is requested.

By order

Dft

11/12/08

Secy

No. 3674
Encl to Petr

4/12/08

1st April

To,

The D. Commr.

Bangalore D.

Sir,

In contn. of this office no 1875 of 10th Oct. 1907 and no 3673 of 12th Feb. 1908, I am directed to forward herewith, for prompt disposal, Petn. Regd no 3989 presented by Gurigowda & others in person this day.

2 The Petrs represent that owing to the outbreak of an epidemic among their cattle in their village they are anxious to conduct

Submitted to the DC/Sr for appl
1/4/08

1/4/08

Issue at once
1/4/08

No. 43460/1.4.08.
Encs: one.

the Utsava of the Sri
Anjaneyaswami on Tiger
Vahana tomorrow to
propitiate the ~~calamity~~ deity.

3. I am to observe
that the temple of
Sri Anjaneyaswami at
Valagerahalli in the
Channapatna Taluk is
a Muzrai institution
endowed with inam lands.
The villagers got a tiger
Vahana prepared and
the Utsava appears to
have been conducted under
orders of the Amildar

Sri Manchegowda

One Jawaraji Gowda appears to have been objecting to the performance of the Tehsava. The tiger Vahana is stated to be in the possession of the Patel of the village.

4. Pending receipt of the report called for from you and the final decision of Govt. thereon, I am directed to request the favour of your issuing immediate orders permitting the P.T.O Petr. to conduct the

4 I am directed to request you to be so good as to issue necessary orders with due regard to local circumstances. The disposal of this case has been delayed for want of your report called for so long ago as October 1907.

of the Auj

tiger V on the new year's day

as was already

if you see no objection thereto

in , the Patel

and the Sh ogue of

the V the Dept.

the help in

I am also

brequest ~~that the~~ final

report called for from

you may be submitted

at a very early date enable Govt. to pass

final orders on the subject.

S. To save time a

Copy of this has been sent

to the Assistant of Chamarajanagar.

Yours

Dy

Secy Revs Dept

Copy to Assistant

Encl to Dept.

Yr

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
453
28.7.08

847

1908

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Mazrai Dept.

Dated 25th

Despatched 27 July 1908.

Received

General No.
M - 5 No. 26

Enclosure No. Nil.

His No. 1875 of 10.10.07.

Reports re the proceedings of Sri Anjaneyaswami or Diger Nahana at Valagerehalli in the Channapatna Taluk

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

S.No.26. Dated Bangalore, 25th July 1908.

From

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naik Esquire B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

K. S. Chendrasekhara Aiyar Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Secy.to the Govt.of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

(Muzrai Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.1875 dated the 10th October 1907, calling for certain particulars regarding the procession of Sri Anjaneyaswamy at Valagerahalli in the Chennapatna Taluk, I have the honor to state that it will be seen from the Amildar's report that the present Kudure Vahanam was presented to the temple by one Jayaroya Gowda about six or seven years back, thatno permission was obtained from the Government for the same and that the Deity is being paraded on Kudure Vahanam for the past six or seven years. It is further reported by the Amildar that Sri Anjaneya Deity in the eleven villages noted in the margin is being paraded on tiger vahanam from time immemorial and there seems to be no objection to the deity, Sri Anjaneyaswami, in question being also paraded on tiger vahanam.

1.Virupakshipur.2.Limmalli Doddi.3.Sogala. 4.Kavikere.5 Harokoppa.6.Dyavapatna.7.Yele--thotadahalli.8.Kudankanahalli.9.Manasiganahalli. 10.Kodamballi.11.Jagadapura.

2. The Closepet Sub-Division Officer in forwarding the records states in his No.1961 dated the 28th June 1908, that he sees no reason to depart from the mamool of the village as opined by Mr.Sparkes. He further states that such innovations should not be introduced in villages with factions which only help to further embitter the feelings of the people.

3. The entire file of vernacular papers containing the Orders of Mr.Sparkes referred to by the Closepet Sub-Division Officer is herewith forwarded for reference and kind return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

De ut Commissioner

4/9

2 Nov 08

Dft for approval
Murzniai

Read;

Petition registered
No. 4264 dated 16th March
1904
from Gjiva Gowda and
certain others of Vadagara-
halley in the Channap
Taluk appealing against
the Dy. Commissioner's
order declining to permit
the utsavam of Sri Anja-
neyaswamy at the place
being paraded on Tiger
Vahanam.

Issue
dly
29

Correspondence ending
with letter No. 26 dated
25th July 1908 from the
Dy. Commr., Bangalore
Dist. reporting on the
above.

Dft returning the records.
Note to the appellants.

Mr 29/08

Order thereon no. Dr.

The Dy. Commr.

Mr. Sparkes heard both the parties through their Counsel on the 11th August 1906 and arrived at the conclusion that there was no manual for the Anjaneya Deity being paraded on Tiger Vahanam in the Vakkageerahally of the Channapatna Taluk. Village. As such a procession was found to be unacceptable ~~objectionable~~ to a section of the Villagers. For ~~certain of~~ the Villagers. it was ordered that a departure from the manual viz the parading of the Deity on Tiger Vahanam should be prohibited.

Deputy Commissioner.

prohibited

(2) Against the foregoing orders Ejaz Ahmed and others have appealed to Govt

(3) The Govt observe ~~that the representation~~ of a section of the villagers is no justification of a departure from manual in respect of the particular ~~Intezam~~ that the order of the Dy. Commr (Mr Shanker) declining a departure from the manual to permit ~~the carrying of the Anjuman on Tiger~~ Dahanam is ~~bound and~~ reasonable the a ~~accordingly~~ is rejected.

on the motion of a section of the villagers is quite found & reasonable. & they are ~~pleased to enforce~~ not prepared to interfere with it.

The Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist returning the records Govt to the appellants

Govt order dt 29.1.08

29

ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲು ಇಷ್ಟಪಡುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪ್ರಾಧ್ಯಾಪಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಗೆ ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ತಮ್ಮ ಮೂಲ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಕಾಲೇಜಿನ ಸೂಚನಾ ಫಲಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಯಾವುದೇ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಇಚ್ಛಿಸದಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಂದರ್ಶನಕ್ಕೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಲು ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಲಿಖಿತವಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲವಾದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂತಹ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ಕಾನೂನು ಕ್ರಮ ಜರುಗಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು.

By order,

Principal